Doctor of Education Program Entrance Paper Guidelines

GRADUATE BIBLICAL STUDIES/THEOLOGICAL PAPER

The formal research paper should be 5-7 pages, double-spaced, 12-point Times New Roman font and should adhere to Turabian style guide. Either biblical theological or educational foundations will be considered.

The Entrance Paper can be a paper written by the applicant during their graduate studies. Alternatively, the paper can also be written for this specific application requirement if a graduate paper cannot be located.

Option 1: Using the historical-critical/grammatical method, prospective candidates will exegete a selected passage with a minimum of 5-7 critical sources. One section of the research paper should focus on theological issues covered in the selected passage. The final section should provide an outline for constructing a sermon, teaching lesson, or journal article.

Option 2: Using the historical-critical/grammatical method, prospective candidates will present a thesis based on a selected educational foundations (i.e. theory or philosophy.) A minimum of 5-7 critical sources must be used. One section of the research paper should focus on the theological implications based on the thesis. The final section should provide an outline for constructing a sermon, teaching lesson, or journal article.

Doctor of Education Program Entrance Paper Evaluation

Title of Paper

Course: _____ Date_____

Submitted by______ Reviewed by______

| CATEGORY | Unacceptable | Acceptable | Good (Occasionally | Excellent |
|---|--|---|--|---|
| | (Below Standards) | (Meets Standards) | Exceeds Standards) | (Exceeds Standards) |
| Introduction Thesis & Key Questions | Does not adequately convey central thesis or idea. | Adequately conveys a central thesis or idea, but not key question(s). | Conveys central thesis, idea and key question(s). Delineates subtopics to be reviewed | Strong introduction central thesis, key question(s), and terms. Clearly delineates subtopics to be reviewed. |
| Focus & Sequencing | Little evidence material is logically organized into thesis, subtopics or related questions. Many transitions are unclear or nonexistent. | Most material clearly related to thesis, idea or questions. Material may not be organized within subtopics. Attempts to transitions. | All material clearly related to the thesis, key questions and logically organized within subtopics. Clear, varied transitions linking subtopics, and main thesis. | All material clearly related to thesis, key questions. Strong organization and integration of material within subtopics. Strong transitions linking subtopics, and main topic. |
| Literature Sources | Few supporting sources. Sources are insignificant or unsubstantiated. | Sources generally acceptable but not relevant or academic. | Well selected sources Relevant to topic and academically acceptable. | Strong peer reviewed research based support for the content. |
| Conclusion | Does not summarize content or impact of researched material on topic. | Review of key conclusions. Some integration and discussion of researched material on topic. | Strong review of key conclusions. Strong integration of research and primary content. | Strong review of key conclusions and integration of researched material with primary content and topic. |
| Grammar & Mechanics | Grammatical errors or spelling & punctuation Substantially detract from the paper. | Few grammatical, spelling or punctuation errors interfere with reading the paper. | Grammatical, spelling, and punctuation errors are rare and do not detract from the paper. | The paper is free of grammatical, spelling, and punctuation errors. |
| Format and Writing Style | Errors in style detract substantially from the paper. Word choice is informal in tone. Writing is choppy, with many awkward or unclear passages. | Errors in style are noticeable. Word choice occasionally informal in tone. Writing has a few awkward or unclear passages. | Rare errors in style that do not detract from the paper. Scholarly style. Writing has minimal awkward or unclear passages. | No errors in style. Scholarly style. Writing is flowing and easy to follow. |
| Citations & References | Reference and citation errors detract significantly from paper. | Many references or citations missing or incorrectly written. | Few references or citations missing or incorrectly written. | All references and citations are correctly written and present. |

Notes & Comments: