Assessment Map for <u>Associate of Arts in Christian Service</u>

Terms Assessed: SP23-FA23

Jury Members: Dr. Greg Wilton (Program Coordinator); Dr. Tommy Doughty; Joshua Brittain

Date of Jury: May 13, 2024; 3:15pm

Program Learning Objective #1: Biblical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate the Bible accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program	Criteria for Success	Results (report, summarize,	Use of Results (make action
assessment)	(benchmark set last cycle)	reflect)—disaggregate by	plan to reach criteria, set
		location and semester	new criteria if needed, AND
			discuss success of previous
			cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures		Aggregate:	Wait on new BMs until we
Final exegesis paper collected	This is a new measure so we	SP23 Aggregate:	have data.
from <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> and	have no benchmark. The	WHIT: not submitted	
assessed with Leavell College	composite score on the rubric	FA23 not offered at any prisons	AP: Leavell College Associate
Biblical Interpretation Rubric	will serve as the metric.	this semester	Dean (Tommy Doughty) will
			work with the Director of
			Distance Programs (Ben
Indirect Measures			Browning) and Institutional
Average score on Course	This is a new measure so we	Aggregate:	Effectiveness (Angela Ray) to
Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9	have no benchmark.	SP23:	better coordinate with Prison
for <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> .		WHIT: not collected	directors and extensions on
		FA23: not offered at any prisons	collecting artifacts and course
		this semester	evaluations, <u>beginning</u>
			<u>Summer 2024</u> .
		Summary/Reflection/Discussion:	
		We remarked that we absolutely	
		cannot afford not to collect at	
		prison locations. No one in our	
		jury was sure why we did not	

receive data from Whitworth in
Spring 2023. Dr. Doughty sent
usual reminders for the collector
but did not hear of particular
problems in receiving or
assessing materials.

Program Learning Objective #2: Theological and Historical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate theological and historical truth accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures		Aggregate:	Wait on BM for Doctrine
Theological Reflection #2	This is a new measure so	SP23	until we have data.
from Christian Doctrine	we have no benchmark.	MCIW: did not submit	
assessed with the Leavell	The composite score on	FA23 not offered at any prisons this	New BM for indirect: 4.6
College Theological and	the rubric will serve as the	semester	
Historical Interpretation	metric.		AP: Leavell College Associate
Rubric.			Dean (Tommy Doughty) will
			work with the Director of
Indirect Measures			Distance Programs (Ben
Average score on Course	This is a new measure so	Aggregate: 4.8, 4.4, 4.8 (4.7)	Browning) and Institutional
Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9	we have no benchmark.	SP23	Effectiveness (Angela Ray) to
for Christian Doctrine.		MCIW: 4.8, 4.4, 4.8 (4.7)	better coordinate with Prison
		FA23: not offered at any prisons this	directors and extensions on
		semester	collecting artifacts and course

1		1 (1
		evaluations, <u>beginning</u>
	Summary/Reflection/Discussion:	<u>Summer 2024</u> .
	Once again, we remarked on the vital	
	nature of collection. For <i>Doctrine</i> at	AP: As collector
	MCIW, Dr. Doughty personally	of <i>Doctrine</i> measure, Dr.
	attempted to collect artifacts but	Doughty will communicate to
	contact was not returned throughout	instructors the importance to
	the semester. Dr. Wilton also had	prepare students for historical
	unanswered contact from the	aspect of reflections.
	instructor/director who is on a special	Beginning Fall 2024, Dr.
	contract.	Doughty will supply Director
		of Distance Programs with his
		assignment overview video
		which includes historical
		resources and strategies.
		AP: Dr. Wilton will reestablish
		contact with MCIW director to
		ensure participation on
		assessment activities like
		artifact collection.
		AP: Leavell College Associate
		Dean (Tommy Doughty) will
		coordinate with Director of
		Distance Programs (Ben
		Browning) in Summer 2024 to
		discuss ways to encourage
		prison directors to verbally
		guide instructors and students
		through the alignment of
		embedded assignments,
		courses, and objectives for
		courses, and objectives for

	their program to see if pertinent course evaluation scores increase.

Executive Summary

This is a brand new program, created in 2023 specifically for students at correctional facilities. It will be vital for Dr. Doughty to engage with the Director of Distance Programs, Dr. Ben Browning. Drs. Doughty and Browning have already been working together to verify embedded assignment instructions and complete artifact collection, a process made more difficult with the lack of electronic resources at prison sites. This jury brought to light the difficulty of 6 educational sites on tight 4-year course schedule cycles when it comes to assessment. The action plans largely pertain to collection of valuable data but also include some full-time faculty engagement with Dr. Browning and prison instructors to improve student learning. Both measures of the new assessment plan fit the nature of the program and the mission of the institution, so time to measure against our new benchmarks should be informative.