## **Assessment Map for BA in Church Ministry**

Terms Assessed: SP23, SU23, FA23, SP24, SU24, FA24

Jury Members: Gregory Wilton (Program Coordinator of LC), Thomas Doughty (Associate Dean of LC), Joshua Brittain

(Administrative Assistant of LC)
Date of Jury: May 19, 2025

Student Learning Outcome #1: Biblical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate the Bible accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	· •	mmarize, reflect)— cation and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures (at least one)  1. Final exegesis paper collected from Interpreting the Bible, Introduction to Preaching, and Introduction to Teaching and assessed with Leavell College Biblical Interpretation Rubric, using the composite score as the metric.	1. This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Overall Composite: 3.23  Intro to Teaching: 2.91 SP23: exegesis not Required FA23: 2.7 NOLA: 2.81 FLEX: 2.6 SP24: 3.11 WHIT: 2.11  Intro to Preaching: 3.37 FA23: 3.56 NOLA: 3.92 FLEX: 3.38 SU24: MCIW: * Hardee: * FA 2024: 3.32 NOLA: 3.67 FLEX: 3.28 Parchman: 3.2 *These embedded assignr	Interpreting the Bible: 3.42 SP23: 3.08 NOLA: 2.75 FLEX: 3.4 FA23:3.57 NOLA: 3.55 FLEX: 3.4 KTI: 3.5 KTI FLEX: 3.5 SP24: 2.91 NOLA: 2.95 FLEX: 2.86 ANG: * SU24 HYB:: 3.69 FA24: 3.86 NOLA: 3.92 FLEX: 3.83	New BM: overall composite 3.25, watch for courses below 3.15  AP: Do not include prison and language sections in this assessment.  AP: Eli Byrd is now the point-person for <i>Intro to Teaching</i> to emphasize the exegesis component of the NO and FLEX sections. He is already planning to take some extra time bridging from the prerequisite course.

	1. This is a new			
Indirect Measures (at least	measure so we	Overall Composite—4.	8	
one)	have no	Q1 4.84		<b>New BM:</b> overall composite
1. Combined average score on	benchmark.	Q2 4.77		4.8
Course Evaluation items 1, 2,		Q3 4.78		
and 9 for Interpreting the		Interpreting the Bible	Intro to Preaching	
Bible, Introduction to		Q1 SP23 4.9	SP23 none	
Preaching, and Introduction to		FA23 4.9	FA23 4.7	
Teaching.		SP24 4.8	SP24 none	
8		FA24 5.0	FA24 4.8	
		Comp 4.9	Comp 4.75	
		Q2		
		SP23 4.8	SP23 none	
		FA23 4.9	FA23 4.4	
		SP24 4.8 FA24 4.9	SP24 none FA24 4.8	
		Comp 4.85	Comp 4.6	
		Q9	Comp 4.0	
		SP23 4.9	SP23 none	
		FA23 4.9	FA23 4.3	
		SP24 4.9	SP24 none	
		FA24 5.0	FA24 4.9	
		Comp 4.925	Comp 4.6	
		Intro to Teaching		
		Q1		
		SP23 4.8 FA23 5.0		
		SP 24 none		
		FA24 4.8		
		Comp 4.87		
		Q2		
		SP23 4.8		
		FA23 5.0		
		SP 24 none		
		FA24 4.8		
		Comp 4.87 Q9		
		SP23 4.7		
		FA23 5.0		
		SP 24 none		
		FA24 4.7		

Comp 4.8	
Reflection: Like Spring 2024 assessment juries, these results include some prison scores. As decided in the Spring 2024 cycle, these deliveries will only be considered in the pertinent program assessment (AA/BA Christian Service). However, gaps in artifacts demonstrates the need to still focus on improvement in our collection process.	
Averages for <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> sections are improving from 2022-23 to 2024 (comparing to Spr24 juries, avg. 3.4 from 3.3).	
Intro to Teaching is noticeably lower than the others. Emphasize strategy with new point-person for that course.	

**Student Learning Outcome #2:** Service and Leadership—The graduate will demonstrate the ability to serve, lead, and equip churches.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Servanthood (mission statement), Mission (mission statement) Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)— disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures 1. Lesson Plan from Introduction to Teaching and Sermon Brief from Introduction to Preaching assessed with Leavell College Lesson Plan/Sermon Brief Rubric	1. Overall composite score of 3.09.	1. Overall Composite: 3.14 (w/o prisons) 2. Overall Composite: 2.79  Intro to Teaching: 2.83	New BM for Teaching/Preaching: Overall composite 3.15, neither course below 3.00 average
2. Final paper from Discipleship Strategies using a to-be-created rubric.	2. This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	WHIT: 2.4  W/o prisons, Teaching composite is 3.02  Intro to Preaching 3.03  FA23: 3.63  NOLA: 3.94  FLEX: 3.47  SU24:  MCIW: *  Hardee: *  FA 2024: 2.83**  NOLA: 2.97**  FLEX: 2.61**  Parchman: 2.34**  W/o prisons, Preaching composite 3.25  *These embedded assignments were not submitted.  **Professor did not require transitions for the sermon brief, which decreased the average.	New BM for Disc. Strat: Composite 2.80  Associate Dean (Tommy Doughty) to communicate with faculty point-persons and adjuncts the content of PSLO#2 and its connection to the direct measures from each course in Summer 2025. Dr. Doughty will recommend requiring a rough-draft submission, spending class schedule time on assignment expectations, or peer

Indirect Measures 1. Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for Introduction to Preaching, Introduction to	1. This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Overall Composite—4. Q1 4.79 Q2 4.71	73	discussion of assignments. He will ask the professors for Fall 2025 to submit syllabi drafts with an email identification of specific connections the prof
Teaching, and Discipleship Strategies.		Q3 4.68  Intro to Teaching Q1	Intro to Preaching  SP23 none FA23 4.7 SP24 none FA24 4.8 Comp 4.75  SP23 none FA23 4.4 SP24 none FA24 4.8 Comp 4.6  SP23 none FA24 4.8 Comp 4.6  SP23 none FA24 4.9 Comp 4.6	New BM: Overall composite 4.80

SP24 none FA24 4.7 Comp 4.65	
Reflection: Like Spring 2024 assessment juries, these results include some prison scores. As decided in the Spring 2024 cycle, these deliveries will only be considered in the pertinent program assessment (AA/BA Christian Service). However, gaps in artifacts demonstrates the need to still focus on improvement in our collection process.	

Student Learning Outcome #3: Theological and Historical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate theological and historical truth accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement), Mission (mission statement) Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures 1. Theological Reflection #2 from Christian Doctrine assessed with the Leavell College Theological and Historical Interpretation Rubric.	1. This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Overall Composite—3.23 SP23: 2.99 SP24: 3.43 ONL 3.33 HYB3.66 BHAM 2.97 LCIW 2.8 ORL 2.19  SU24: 3.03 FA23: 3.42 PAR 3.03 NOLA 3.43 Flex 3.33 FA24: 3.29 SPAN 3.42 NOLA 3.43 Flex 3.19	New BM: overall composite 3.25, watch for no sections below 2.95
Indirect Measures 1. Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for Christian Doctrine.	1. This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Overall Composite—4.87  Q1 Composite-4.9	New BM: overall composite 4.80

Reflection: Like Spring 2024 assessment juries, these results include some prison scores. As decided in the Spring 2024 cycle, these deliveries will only be considered in the pertinent program assessment (AA/BA Christian Service). However, gaps in artifacts demonstrates the need to still focus on improvement in our collection process.
Averages for <i>Christian Doctrine</i> sections are improving from 2022-23 to 2024 (comparing to Spr24 juries, avg. up 3.3 from 3.1)

## **Executive Summary**

This program was revised in 2023, including its assessment plan. However, our new embedded assignments overlap with existing data points. There was also the opportunity to compare with disaggregated data from the other year of our assessment cycles (*Interpreting the Bible* and *Christian Doctrine* artifacts in particular). Leavell College continues to improve its assessment in two main ways: 1) analyzing pertinent course sections/deliveries for applicable programs [not assessing sections that contain no students from the applicable programs]; 2) shoring up administrative processes that ensure complete collection of artifacts in all deliveries.

Our action plans will focus on one course this year that has undergone a shift in faculty: *Introduction to Teaching*. This course occupies a central place in the Church Ministry curriculum and provides two key assessment artifacts, an exegesis component and a lesson plan component. The new point-person for this course is a new Leavell College core faculty member who has already begun to work with the Deans on strategy for the course overall. Our action plans are mostly communication that will drive instructors in various pivotal courses to demonstrate clear priorities for the SLOs of this program.