

Assessment Map for BA in Intercultural Studies

Terms Assessed: SP23-FA23

Jury Members: Dr. Greg Wilton (Program Coordinator); Dr. Tommy Doughty; Joshua Brittain

Date of Jury: May 13, 2024; 4:15pm

Program Learning Objective #1: *Biblical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate the Bible accurately.*

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: *Devotion (mission statement); Proclamation (mission statement)*

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): *n/a*

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures Final exegesis paper collected from <i>Interpreting the Bible</i>, <i>Introduction to Preaching</i>, and <i>Introduction to Teaching</i> and assessed with Leavell College Biblical Interpretation Rubric, using the composite score as the metric.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Aggregate: 3.12 <i>Interpreting the Bible:</i> 3.08 SP23 Aggregate: 3.08 NOLA: 2.75 FLEX: 3.4 <i>FA23 Aggregate:</i> 3.57 NOLA: 3.55 FLEX: 3.40 KTI: 3.81 KTI FLEX: 3.5 <i>Intro to Teaching:</i> SP23: NOLA: not assessed HAR: not assessed <i>FA23:</i> 2.7 NOLA: 2.81 FLEX: 2.6 <i>Intro to Preaching:</i> 3.57 SP23: 3.44	New Benchmark: 3.25 aggregate across courses AP: Program Coordinator of Biblical Studies (Jeff Audirsch) also responsible for oversight of <i>Int Bible</i> measure. He will revise the assignment instructions for the Exegesis Paper to focus more on historical context, <u>due May 2024</u>. AP: Leavell College Associate Dean (Tommy Doughty) will verify and send reminders to collector of <i>Teaching</i> artifacts to avoid missing data, <u>beginning Summer 2024</u>.

Indirect Measures Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Interpreting the Bible, Introduction to Preaching, and Introduction to Teaching</i>.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	SPAN: 3.44 FA23: 3.7 NOLA: 3.7 Aggregate: 4.86, 4.76, 4.74 (4.79) <i>Int Bible</i>: 4.9, 4.85, 4.9 (4.88) SP23: 4.9, 4.8, 4.9 (avg. 4.83) NOLA/FLEX: 4.9, 4.8, 4.9 FA23: 4.95, 4.9, 4.9 (4.92) NOLA/FLEX: 4.9, 4.8, 4.8 KTI/KTI FLEX: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 <i>Intro Teach</i>: 4.88, 4.88, 4.83 (4.86) SP23: 4.75, 4.75, 4.65 (4.72) Hardee: 4.5, 4.5, 4.3 (4.43) NOLA: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) FA23: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) NOLA/FLEX: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) <i>Intro Preach</i>: 4.8, 4.55, 4.5 (4.62) SP23: 4.9, 4.7, 4.7 (4.77) SPAN: 4.9, 4.7, 4.7 (4.77) FA23: 4.7, 4.4, 4.3 (4.47) NOLA/FLEX: 4.7, 4.4, 4.3 (4.47) <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u> Overall, Dr. Audirsch noted weakness on historical context in details on Interpreting scores. Missing some artifacts for a full semester.	New BM: 4.25 aggregate across courses
---	---	---	---

Program Learning Objective #2: Theological and Historical Interpretation—The graduate will interpret and communicate theological and historical truth accurately.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Proclamation (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures Theological Reflection #2 from <i>Christian Doctrine</i> assessed with the Leavell College Theological and Historical Interpretation Rubric.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Aggregate: 3.11 SP23 Aggregate: 2.83 ONL: 3.33 BHAM: 2.97 ORL: 2.19 MCIW: did not submit FA23 Aggregate: 3.39 NOLA: 3.43 FLEX: 3.33 SPAN: 3.42	New BM: 3.25 aggregate AP: As collector of <i>Doctrine</i> measure, Dr. Doughty will communicate to instructors the importance to prepare students for historical aspect of reflections. <u>Beginning Fall 2024</u>, Dr. Doughty will supply instructors with his assignment overview video which includes historical resources and strategies.
Indirect Measures Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Christian Doctrine</i>	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark.	Aggregate: 4.81, 4.63, 4.73 (4.73) SP23: 4.8, 4.5, 4.7 (4.2) ONL: 5.0, 4.9, 4.8 (4.9) BHAM: 4.3, 4.3, 4.0 (4.2) ORL: 5.0, 4.5, 5.0 (4.8) MCIW: 4.8, 4.4, 4.8 (4.7) FA23: 4.9, 4.85, 4.9 (4.89) NOLA/FLEX: 5.0, 4.9, 5.0 (4.97) SPAN: 4.8, 4.8, 4.8 (4.8) <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u>	New BM for Indirect: 4.5

		Doctrine scores improving. Dr. Doughty affirmed Dr. Audirsch's concern on <i>Interpreting the Bible</i> with a parallel observation that interaction with historical truth lacking (detail of <i>Christian Doctrine</i> rubric scores).	
--	--	---	--

Program Learning Objective #3: Competency in Chosen Field—The graduate will demonstrate mastery in Intercultural Studies.

Alignment to Mission Statement/Strategic Plan: Servanthood (mission statement), Mission (mission statement)

Alignment to ATS/NASM/CACREP Goals (if applicable): n/a

Measures (means of program assessment)	Criteria for Success (benchmark set last cycle)	Results (report, summarize, reflect)—disaggregate by location and semester	Use of Results (make action plan to reach criteria, set new criteria if needed, AND discuss success of previous cycle's action plans)
Direct Measures <i>Senior Seminar</i> paper using composite score of Senior Seminar Rubric Personal Position paper (Suffering in Christian Mission) from LCTH4354 <i>Persecution & Martyrdom Yesterday & Today</i> assessed with the Leavell College Theological and Historical Interpretation Rubric.	This is a new measure so we have no benchmark. The composite score on the rubric will serve as the metric.	Aggregate: 2.99 <i>Senior Seminar</i> Aggregate: 2.99 SP23 Aggregate: 2.65 NOLA: 2.75 ONL: 2.56 ANG: 2.92 PARCH: 2.0 LCIW: 2.69 HAR: 2.97 FA23 Aggregate: 3.33 NOLA: 3.63 ONL: 2.9 <i>Persecution/Martyrdom</i> not taught this cycle	New BM (SS only): 3.00 AP: In future assessments, prison and language sections of SS will not be considered. Projected Benchmark (P&M only): 2.75

<u>Indirect Measures</u> Combined average score on Course Evaluation items 1, 2, and 9 for <i>Senior Seminar</i> and <i>LCTH4354</i>.		<u>Aggregate: 4.75</u> <i>Senior Seminar</i> Aggregate: SP23 Aggregate: 4.81 NOLA: 5.0, 5.0, 5.0 (5.0) ONL: 4.7, 4.6, 4.3 (4.53) ANG: 5.0, 4.9, 5.0 (4.97) PARCH: not submitted LCIW: 4.9, 4.8, 4.8 (4.83) HAR: 4.9, 4.7, 4.8 (4.7) FA23: 4.67 NOLA: 5.0, 4.8, 4.9 (4.9) ONL: 4.5, 4.5, 4.0 (4.33) <i>Persecution and Martyrdom</i> not taught this cycle <u>Summary/Reflection/Discussion:</u> Dr. Wilton noted that <i>Persecution and Martyrdom</i> was offered this semester and assessment data has already been collected, so it will be ready for the next assessment. Dr. Wilton questioned why prison scores were considered since no students in those sections are in this program.	
--	--	---	--

Executive Summary

This is the first assessment of this major which was revised in 2023. Dr. Wilton noted the difficulty of assessing the program since the direct measure unique to program students was not included due to the assessment cycle and shared concerns from an earlier jury on objectives #1-2. Specific to Intercultural Studies, we look forward to seeing improvement in objectives #1-2 because of changes and faculty engagement. Then in the next scheduled assessment, we will have more data unique to the program to evaluate and act upon.