## Assessment Grid for Masters of Arts (Biblical Archeology) July, 2023

**Assessment Team:** Drs. Jim Parker, Charlie Ray III, and Jonathan Patterson **Terms Assessmed**: Spring 2021, Fall 2021, Spring 2022, Fall 2022, Spring 2023

Student Learning Objective 1: Students will demonstrate their understanding and ability to interpret and communicate accurately biblical, theological, and historical truth.

ATS Standard 4.8

Measures	Prior Assessment	Current Assessment	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
Direct Measure #1  Systematic Theology 1. This assignment was assessed using a rubric.  • Using the relevant chapters from your main text, as well as three to four other sources (books, essays, commentaries on Romans 1:18-32, etc), briefly explain your understanding of the doctrine of general revelation, highlighting the differences between general and special revelation. What impact does the doctrine of general revelation have on your understanding of missions and evangelism? Describe how the doctrine of general revelation can affect your communication of the Gospel.	New metrics due to curriculum change	Spring 21: 3.4 (10 students) Fall 21: 3.52 (18 students) Spring 22: 3.17 (6 students) Fall 22: 2.98 (16 students) Spring 23: 3.30 (10 students)  Avg. 3.28 (60 students)  Reflections With the exception of 1 semester (Fall 22), each semester the students averaged over 3.0 (which is qualified as "good" results). The last 1.5 years of data were lower than the prior year, so while we are pleased with the 3.28 average, we do want to see this trend reversed. Data is limited for this measure due	Recommendations  New benchmark: 3.28  While we are not setting a new benchmark here, as we continue to track these scores, we will need to make adjustments if we continue to see a negative trend in these numbers.

Direct measure #2 Summative Assignment Rubric Metric #1  • The student demonstrated the ability to understand the field of biblical archeology.	New metrics due to curriculum change	to a shift in embedded assignments used in this course.  No data due to no graduates during the assessment period.	
Indirect measure #1 Exit Interview Question 1  • To what extent have you grown in your understanding of biblical, theological, and historical truth? How? (0-4 scale)	New metrics due to curriculum change	No data due to no graduates during the assessment period.	
<ul> <li>Indirect measure #2</li> <li>Systematic Theology 1 Course</li> <li>Evaluation Questions (1-5 scale)</li> <li>2- The content of this course expanded my knowledge and skills in this area of study.</li> <li>6- I can take things I learned in this course and apply them to my ministry situation.</li> <li>7- The assignments in this course were appropriate and helped me learn the subject matter.</li> </ul>	New metrics due to curriculum change	Avg. #2: 4.77 Spring 2020: 4.8 Fall 2020: 4.8 Spring 2021: 4.7 Fall 2021: 4.8 Spring 2022: 4.8 Fall 2022: 4.7  Avg. #6: 4.67 Spring 2020: 4.7 Fall 2020: 4.6 Spring 2021: 4.6 Fall 2021: 4.7	Recommendations  New benchmarks: #2: 4.77 #6: 4.67 #7: 4.72  We recommend keeping these benchmarks the same moving forward.

Spring 2022: 4.7 Fall 2022: 4.7
Avg. #7: 4.72 Spring 2020: 4.8 Fall 2020: 4.7 Spring 2021: 4.7 Fall 2021: 4.8 Spring 2022: 4.6
Fall 2022: 4.7
Reflections We are pleased with these scores and feel that they represent broad student satisfaction in these areas since the majority of students rated each of these areas as either good (4) or excellent (5).

## Student Learning Objective 2: Students will demonstrate improved skills in research and writing in the field of biblical studies.

ATS Standard 4.8

Measures	Prior Assessment	Current Assessment	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
Direct measure #1  Summative Assignment Rubric Metric #2  • The student showed clear and logical argumentation in his or her ability to develop a thesis.	New metrics due to curriculum change	No data due to no graduates during the assessment period.	
Direct measure #2 Summative Assignment Rubric Metric #3  • The student clearly communicated the results of his or her research in an understandable manner.	New metrics due to curriculum change	No data due to no graduates during the assessment period.	
Indirect Measure #1 Exit Interview Question 3  • To what extent have you improved in your research and writing skills? How? (0-4 scale)	New metrics due to curriculum change	No data due to no graduates during the assessment period	

Indirect Measure #2 Course Evaluations (OTHB6351; NTGK6351)  • 2- The content of this course expanded my knowledge and skills in this area of study.  • 7- The assignments in this course were appropriate and	New metrics due to curriculum change	Avg. #2: 4.7 Fall 2022: 5.0 (OTHB6351 N.O.) Spring 2023: 4.8 (NTGK6351 N.O.) 5.0 (NTGK6351 online) 4.0 (OTHB6351 online)	Recommendations  Due to limited data for these courses (see below), we are not recommending any changes at this point.
helped me learn the subject matter.		Avg. #7: 4.45 Fall 5.0 (OTHB6351 N.O.)  Spring 2023: 4.8 (NTGK6351 N.O.) 5.0 (NTGK6351 online) 3.0 (OTHB6351 online)  Reflections	
		We noted that the online scores in this area are lower than the in-person scores.	

OTHB6351 and NTGK6351 are additions to the new MA (Biblical Archeology) curriculum. As such, we have only two semesters of data for these courses. These courses also tend to be smaller courses, so the sample size for this data is limited.

## Student Learning Objective 3: Students will demonstrate personal and spiritual maturity. ATS Standard 4.8

Measures	Prior Assessment	Current Assessment	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
Direct measure #1  Discipleship and Spiritual Formation. This assignment was assessed using a rubric.  • Each student will create a creative and visual personal discipleship map that depicts spiritual formation and his/her discipleship plan relating to the importance of spiritual maturity, spiritual disciplines, and relationships. The map should include a biblical foundation for spiritual formation, personal spiritual disciplines/devotional practices, and engagement in relationships through mentoring, and groups.	New metrics due to curriculum change	Fall 2022: 3.04 (6 students, N.O.) 3.14 (14 students, online)  Avg. 3.11 (20 students)  Reflections The data for these courses is very limited.**	Recommendations  New benchmark: 3.15  Over the next year, we will devote a portion of our division meetings to training on how to engage our students spiritually, both in in-person courses and in online courses.  We will have one faculty member who excels in this area train us on engaging spiritual formation in in-person classes, and one faculty member will offer training on how to do this in online classes.  We will also recommend to the division a change in the language for this question on the exit interview: "To what

			extent have you grown spiritually and in your love for God and neighbor through your program? How?" We believe that this phrasing of the question better fits our MA (Biblical Archeology) curriculum and what we are trying to accomplish through this degree.
Indirect measure #1 Exit Interview Question 2  ■ To what extent have you grown spiritually and in moral integrity through your program? How?	New metrics due to curriculum change	No data due to no graduates during the assessment period.	

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Discipleship and Spiritual Formation Course represents a change to the curriculum, so we have limited data for this course. This class has only been part of the curriculum for two semesters.

## **Executive Summary**

The Biblical Studies faculty voted to approve a new curriculum for the MA (Biblical Archeology) on January 19, 2022. The new curriculum was subsequently approved by the faculty and the trustees for implementation in August of 2022. We just finished the first year of classes with the new curriculum.

Due to the curriculum change, the Biblical Studies faculty approved a new assessment grid on August 5, 2022. While this change represented a significant shift from our last assessment, we feel that the current assessment measures represent substantive improvements. Many of the former courses that we used for assessment are no longer a part of the degree (or are now listed as admissions requirements for the degree). But we believe the addition of the summative assignment as a part of the assessment represents a marked step forward in our ability to assess this degree.

We did not have any MA (Biblical Archeology) graduates during this assessment period, so we have very limited data from which to work, since we had no students complete the Summative Assignment during this timeframe. We anticipate at least four graduates in the next two years, so we anticipate much better data for our next assessment.