## New Orleans Baptist Theological Seminary Summary of Juried Reviews

Degree Program PhD Date: May 19, 2022

Faculty Jury: Garrett, Jones, Wittman, Bandy,

and Riley

The following questions should be answered by each jury after completing your rubrics. These answers will guide the Academic Dean's Council as it considers what curricular improvements may need to be proposed to the faculty.

- 1. If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific **strengths to be sustained** in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
  - 1. New Faculty hires have strengthened the program.
  - 2. Numerous amount of students and graduates have published and presented
- 2. If not obvious from the rubric or other juries, please note specific **weaknesses to be improved** in the degree program as a whole (and/or curriculum) found by the jury related to SLOs.
  - 1. We are too gracious with granting extensions
  - 2. Students are not being mentored throughout the program
  - 3. Students do not take due dates seriously
  - 4. Theses are not at the academic level they should be
  - 5. Students do not know what to expect for papers
  - 6. Giving feedback to students in a timely manner
- 3. Please note any **recommended or proposed curricular improvements** needed related to SLOs.
  - 1. Begin mentorship earlier and provide more guidance with dissertation writing and throughout the program
  - 2. Gather together to have conversations on what can be done to help with dissertations. (have other majors help with this)
- 4. Please note any **recommendations or improvements for the process.** (Revision of rubric, reconsideration of SLOs, reconsideration of artifact used, etc.)
  - 1. Focus or value should not be based on publishing productivity
  - 2. Monitor students not achieving SLO's more closely
  - 3. Provide more tangible objectives in order to increase accuracy in measurements and results